References

Abney, S. (1986). *The English Noun Phrase in its Sentential Aspect.* PhD Dissertation, MIT.

Adorni, G. and M. Zock (1993). Introduction. In G. Adorni and M. Zock (Eds.), *Trends in Natural Language Generation*, pp. 1–16. Berlin: Springer.

Altenberg, B. (1990). Automatic text segmentation in tone units. In J. Svartik (Ed.), *The London-Lund Corpus of Spoken English*, pp. 287–323. Lund: Lund University Press.

Appelo, E., M. Leermakers, and J. Rous (1993). Template-based generation of natural language expressions with controlled m-grammar. In *IPO Annual Progress Report*, Number 28, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, pp. 131–138.

Baart, J. (1987). *Focus, Syntax and Accent Placement: towards a Rule System for the Derivation of Pitch Accents in Dutch as Spoken by Humans and Machines.* PhD Dissertation, University of Leiden.

Bachenko, J. and J. Fitzpatrick (1990). Discourse-neutral prosodic phrasing in English. *Computational Linguistics 16*(3), 155–170.

Bateman, J. A. (1994). KPML: The KOMET-Penman (multilingual) developement environment. Technical report, Institut für Integrierte Publikations- und Informationssysteme (IPSI), GMD.

Bateman, J. A. (1997). Editorial. *Speech Communication 21*, 35–36.

Bateman, J. A. and E. H. Hovy (1991). Computers and text generation: Principles and uses. In C. Butler (Ed.), *Computers and Texts: An Applied Perspective*, pp. 53–74. Oxford, England: Basil Blackwell.

Beach, C. (1991). The interpretation of prosodic patterns at points of syntactic structure ambiguity: Evidence for cue trading relations. *Journal of Memory and Language 30*, 644–663.

Beckman, M. (1995). Problems of intonation. In *Proceedings of the XIIIth International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, Stockholm, Sweden, pp. 450–457.

Beckman, M. and J. Edwards (1994). Articulatory evidence for differentiating stress categories. In P. Keatings (Ed.), *Phonological Structure and Phonetic Form*, Volume III of *Papers in Laboratory Phonology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bennis, H. and T. Hoekstra (1989). *Generatieve Grammatica*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Birch, S. and C. Clifton (1995). Focus, accent, and argument structure. *Language and Speech 38*(4), 365–391.

Bolinger, D. (1983). Affirmation and default. *Folia Linguistica 17*, 99–116.

Booij, G. (1983). Principles and parameters in prosodic phonology. *Linguistics 21*, 249–280.

Booij, G. (1995). *The Phonology of Dutch*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bosch, P. and R. van der Sandt (Eds.) (1999). *Focus: Linguistic, Cognitive and Computational Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bouma, G. (1996). Complement clauses and expletives. In G. Durieux, W. Daelemans, and S. Gillis (Eds.), *CLIN VI. Papers from the Sixth CLIN Meeting*, pp. 1–17. Antwerpen.

Bouma, G. and G. van Noord (1995). A lexicalist account of the Dutch verbal complex. In *Papers from the Fouth CLIN Meeting*. Groningen.

Brown, G. (1983). Prosodic structure and the given/new distinction. In A. Cutler and D. Ladd (Eds.), *Prosody: Models and Measurements*, pp. 67–77. Berlin: Springer.

Cambier-Langeveld, T. (2000). *Temporal marking of accents and boundaries*. PhD Thesis, University of Amsterdam. The Hague: Thesus.

Caspers, J. (1988). Experiments on the meaning of two pitch accent types: the 'pointed hat' versus the accent-lending fall in Dutch. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing 1988*, pp. 1291–1294.

Caspers, J. (1994). *Pitch Movements under Time Pressure*. PhD Dissertation, University of Leiden. Den Haag: Holland Academic Graphics.

Caspers, J. (1998). Who's next? The melodic marking of question vs. continuation in Dutch. *Language and Speech 41*, 371–394.

Charpentier, F. and M. Stella (1986). Diphone synthesis using an overlap-add technique for speech waveforms concatenation. In *Proceedings of the International Conference of Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pp. 2015–2018.

Chomsky, N. and M. Halle (1968). *The Sound Pattern of English.* New York: Harper and Row.

CoGenTex, Inc (1998). *RealPro General English Grammar User Manual.* Ithaca, New York: CoGenTex, Inc.

Coppen, P.-A. (1990). GRAMTSY 4.0: Grammaticaal Transformationeel Systeem. Manual, University of Nijmegen.

Coppen, P.-A. (1991). *Specifying the Noun Phrase.* PhD Thesis, University of Nijmegen. Amsterdam: Thesis Publishers.

Croft, W. (1995). Intonation units and grammatical structure. *Linguistics 33*, 839–882.

d'Alessandro, C. and J.-S. Liénard (1995). Synthetic speech generation. In R. A. Cole (Ed.), *Survey of the State of the Art in Human Language Technology*, pp. 196–201.

Dalianis, H. (1997). Natural language aggregation and clarification using cue words. In W. Hoeppner (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 6th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Duisburg, pp. 6–16.

Dalianis, H. and E. Hovy (1993). Aggregation in natural language generation. In G. Adorni and M. Zock (Eds.), *Trends in Natural Language Generation*, pp. 88–105. Berlin: Springer.

Dalianis, H. and E. Hovy (1997). On lexical aggregation and ordering. In W. Hoeppner (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 6th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Duisburg, pp. 17–27.

De Smedt, K. (1990). IPF: an incremental parallel formulator. In R. Dale, C. Mellish, and M. Zock (Eds.), *Current Research in Natural Language Generation*, pp. 167–192. London: Academic Press.

De Smedt, K. (1996). Computational models of incremental grammatical encoding. In A. Dijkstra and K. De Smedt (Eds.), *Computational Psycholinguistics: AI and Connectionist Models of Human Language Processing*, pp. 279–307. Hemel Hempstead: Prentice Hall / Harvester Wheatsheaf.

De Smedt, K., H. Horacek, and M. Zock (1996). Architectures for natural language generation: Problems and perspectives. In G. Adorni and M. Zock (Eds.), *Trends in Natural Language Generation: An Artificial Intelligence Perspective*, pp. 17–46. Berlin: Springer.

Degand, L. (1993). Dutch grammar documentation. Technical report, Institut für Integrierte Publikations- und Informationssysteme (IPSI), GMD.

Degand, L. (1996). A Dutch component for a multilingual systemic text generation system. In G. Adorni and M. Zock (Eds.), *Trends in Natural Language Generation: An Artificial Intelligence Perspective*, pp. 350–367. Berlin: Springer.

Derksen, C. and J. Potjer (2000). *Manual for the AGFL system. The GEN parser generator version 1.8.* University of Nijmegen, Computing Science Institute.

Dirksen, A. (1992). Accenting and deaccenting: A declarative approach. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Computatational Linguistics*, Nantes, France, pp. 865–869.

Dirksen, A. and H. Quené (1993). Prosodic analysis: The next generation. In V. van Heuven and L. Pols (Eds.), *Analysis and Synthesis of Speech*, pp. 131–144. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Dutoit, T. (1997). *An Introduction to Text-to-Speech Synthesis.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Dutoit, T. and H. Leich (1993). MBR-PSOLA: Text-to-speech synthesis based on an MBE resynthesis of the segments database. *Speech Communication 13*, 435–440.

Dutoit, T., V. Pagel, N. Pierret, O. van der Vreken, and F. Bataille (1996). The MBROLA project: towards a set of high-quality speech synthesizers free of use for non-commercial purposes. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, Philadelphia.

Elffers, E. (1992). De onaccentueerbaarheid van modale partikels. *Grammaticaal Spektakel*, 59–70.

Elhadad, M. (1993). *FUF: The Universal Unifier - User Manual, version 5.2.* New York: Columbia University. Technical Report CUCS-038-91.

Elhadad, M., K. McKeown, and J. Robin (1997). Floating constraints in lexical choice. *Computational Linguistics 23*(2), 195–239.

Elhadad, M. and J. Robin (1996). An overview of SURGE: A reusable comprehensive syntactic realization component. Technical Report 96-03, Ben Gurion University, Department of computer Science.

Elhadad, M. and J. Robin (to appear). SURGE: a comprehensive plug-in syntactic realization component for text generation. *Computational Linguistics*.

Ernestus, M. (2000). *Voice assimilation and segment reduction in casual Dutch: a corpus-based study of the phonology-phonetics interface.* PhD Dissertation, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

ESPRIT (1992). Standard computer-compatible transcription. ESPRIT Project 2589, Stage Report Sen.93 SAM-UCL-037.

Faber, D. (1987). The accentuation of intransitive sentences in English. *Journal of Linguistics 23*, 341–358.

Ferreira, F. (1993). Creation of prosody during sentence production. *Psychological Review 100*(2), 233–253.

Foley, W. (1994). Information structure. In R. Asher and J. Simpson (Eds.), *The Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, pp. 200–209. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Forey, P. (1992). *Wilde Bloemen van Europa.* Baarn: Thieme.

Fowler, C. and J. Housum (1987). Talkers' signalling of "old" and "new" words in speech and listeners' perception and use of the distinction. *Journal of Memory and Language 26*, 489–504.

Fraczak, L., G. Lapalme, and M. Zock (1998). Automatic generation of subway directions: salience gradation as a factor for determining message and form. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada, pp. 58–67.

Gazdar, G., E. Klein, G. Pullum, and I. Sag (1985). *Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Gee, J. P. and F. Grosjean (1983). Performance structures: a psycholinguistic and linguistic appraisal. *Cognitive Psychology 15*, 411–458.

Grabe, E., C. Gussenhoven, J. Haan, E. Marsi, and B. Post (1998). Preaccentual pitch and speaker attitude in Dutch. *Language and Speech 41*(1), 63–85.

Grabe, E., P. Warren, and F. Nolan (1994). Resolving category ambiguities – Evidence from stress shift. *Speech Communication 15*, 101–114.

Grimshaw, J. (1990). *Argument Structure.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Griswold, R. E. and M. T. Griswold (1993). *The Icon Programming Language* (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

Grosz, B. and J. Hirschberg (1992). Some intonational characteristics of discourse structure. In *2nd International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, Banff, Canada, pp. 429–432.

Grosz, B. and C. Sidner (1986). Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse. *Computational Linguistics 12*(3), 175–204.

Grosz, B. J., A. K. Joshi, and S. Weinstein (1995). Centering: a framework for modeling the local coherence of discourse. *Computational Linguistics 21*(2), 203–225.

Gulikers, L. and R. Willemse (1992). A lexicon for a text-to-speech system. In *2nd International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, Banff, Canada, pp. 101–104.

Gussenhoven, C. (1983a). Stress shift and the nucleus. *Linguistics 21*, 303–339.

Gussenhoven, C. (1983b). Testing the reality of focus domains. *Language and Speech 26*, 61–80.

Gussenhoven, C. (1984). *On the Grammar and Semantics of Sentence Accents.* PhD Dissertation, University of Nijmegen. Dordrecht: Foris.

Gussenhoven, C. (1988). Adequacy in intonation analysis: the case of Dutch. In H. van der Hulst and N. Smith (Eds.), *Advances in Nonlinear Phonology*, pp. 95–121. Dordrecht: Foris.

Gussenhoven, C. (1990). Tonal association domains and the prosodic hierarchy in English. In S. Ramsaran (Ed.), *Studies in the Pronunciation of English*, pp. 27–37. London, New York: Routledge.

Gussenhoven, C. (1991a). The English rhythm rule as an accent deletion rule. *Phonology 8*, 1–35.

Gussenhoven, C. (1991b). Tone segments in the intonation of Dutch. In T. Shannon and J. Snapper (Eds.), *The Berkeley Conference on Dutch Linguistics 1989*, pp. 139–155. Lanham MD: University Press of America.

Gussenhoven, C. (1992a). Intonational phrasing and the prosodic hierarchy. In W. Dressler, H. Luschützky, O. Pfeiffer, and J. Rennison (Eds.), *Phonologica 1988*, pp. 89–99. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gussenhoven, C. (1992b). Sentence accents and argument structure. In I. Roca (Ed.), *Thematic Structure: its Role in Grammar*, pp. 79–106. Berlin: Foris.

Gussenhoven, C. (1993). The Dutch foot and the chanted call. *Journal of Linguistics 29*, 37–63.

Gussenhoven, C. (1999). On the limits of focus projection in English. In P. Bosch and R. van der Sandt (Eds.), *Focus: Linguistic, Cognitive and Computational Perspectives*, pp. 43–55. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gussenhoven, C. and T. Rietveld (1992a). Intonation contours, prosodic structure and preboundary lengthening. *Journal of Phonetics 20*, 283–303.

Gussenhoven, C. and T. Rietveld (1992b). A target-interpolation model for the intonation of Dutch. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, Banff, Canada, pp. 1235–1238.

Haegeman, L. (1991). *Introduction to Government & Binding Theory.* Oxford: Blackwell.

Haeseryn, W., K. Romijn, G. Geerts, J. de Rooij, and M. van den Toorn (1997). *Algemene Nederlandse Spraakkunst* (2nd ed.). Groningen: Martinus Nijhoff.

Halliday, M. (1994). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. London: Edward Arnold.

Hayes, B. (1984). The phonology of rhythm in English. *Linguistic Inquiry 15*, 33–74.

Hayes, B. (1986). The prosodic hierarchy in meter. In P. Kiparsky and G. Youmans (Eds.), *Rhythm and Meter*, pp. 201–260. Orlando: Academic Press.

Hayes, B. (1990). Precompliled phrasal phonology. In S. Inkelas and D. Zec (Eds.), *The Phonology-Syntax Connection*, pp. 85–108. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hayes, B. and A. Lahiri (1991). Bengali intonational phonology. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 9*, 47–96.

Heinzel, U. (1999). Prosody: a clue for the interpretation of focus particles. In W. Bergsma, M.-J. Palmen, and M. Wester (Eds.), *Proceedings of the CLS opening Academic Year 1999/2000*, pp. 31–53.

Hess, W. J. (1997). Section introduction. a brief history of applications. In J. van Santen, R. Sproat, J. Olive, and J. Hirschberg (Eds.), *Progress in Speech Synthesis*, pp. 563–564. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Hirschberg, J. (1991). Using text analysis to predict intonational boundaries. In *Eurospeech 91*, pp. 1275–1278.

Hirschberg, J. (1992). Using discourse context to guide accent decisions in synthetic speech. In G. Bailly and C. Benoit (Eds.), *Talking Machines: Models and Design*, pp. 367–376. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Hirschberg, J. (1993). Pitch accent in context: Predicting intonational prominence from text. *Artificial Intelligence 63*, 305–340.

Hirst, D. (1993). Detaching intonational phrases from syntactic structure. *Linguistic Inquiry 24*(4), 781–788.

Hiyakumoto, L., S. Prevost, and J. Cassell (1997). Semantic and discourse information for text-to-speech intonation. In *Proceedings of the ACL/EACL Workshop on Concept to Speech Generation*, Madrid, pp. 47–56.

Hofhuis, E., C. Gussenhoven, and A. Rietveld (1995). Final lengthening at prosodic boundaries in Dutch. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, Stockholm, pp. 154–157.

Horne, M. (1990). Empirical evidence for a deletion formulation of the rhythm rule in English. *Linguistics 28*, 959–981.

Horne, M. (1991). Phonetic correlates of the 'given/new' parameter. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Phonetic Sciences 91*, Aix-en-Provence, pp. 230–233.

Horne, M., M. Fillipson, C. Johansson, M. Ljungqvist, and A. Linström (1993). Improving the prosody in TTS systems: morphological and lexical-semantic methods for tracking 'new' vs. 'given' information. In *Proceedings of the ESCA Tutorial and Research Workshop on Prosody*, Lund, pp. 208–211. (Working papers 41, Lund University, Department of Linguistics and Phonetics).

Hovy, E. H. (1988). Planning coherent multisentential text. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Meeting of the ACL*, pp. 163–169.

Hovy, E. H. (1991). Approaches to the planning of coherent text. In W. Swartout and W. Mann (Eds.), *Natural Language Generation in Artificial Intelligence and Computational Linguistics*, pp. 83–102. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Inkelas, S. and D. Zec (1990). *The Phonology-Syntax Connection.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Inkelas, S. and D. Zec (1995). Syntax-phonology interface. In J. A. Goldsmith (Ed.), *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, pp. 535–549. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Inui, K., T. Tokunaga, and H. Tanaka (1992). Text revision: A model and its implementation. In R.Dale, E.Hovy, D.Rösner, and O.Stock (Eds.), *Aspects of Aumtomated Natural Language Generation*, Number 587 in Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, pp. 215–230. Berlin: Springer.

Jackendoff, R. (1977). *$\overline{X}$ Syntax: A Study of Phrase Structure.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jackendoff, R. (1990). *Semantic Structures.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jokinen, K., H. Tanaka, and A. Yokoo (1998). Planning dialogue contributions with new information. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada, pp. 158–167.

Kager, R. and H. Quené (1987). Deriving prosodic sentence structure without exhaustive syntactic analysis. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Speech Technology*, pp. 243–246.

Kager, R. and H. Quené (1989). An algorithm for the assignment of sentence accents for a Dutch text-to-speech system. In *Linguistics in the Netherlands.*

Keijsper, C. (1984a). Vorm en betekenis in Nederlandse toonhoogtecontouren I. *Forum der Letteren 25*, 20–37.

Keijsper, C. (1984b). Vorm en betekenis in Nederlandse toonhoogtecontouren II. *Forum der Letteren 25*, 113–126.

Kelly, M. (1992). Using sound to solve syntactic problems: the role of phonology in grammatical category assignment. *Psychological Review 99*, 349–364.

Kempen, G. and E. Hoenkamp (1987). An incremental procedural grammar for sentence production. *Cognitive Science 11*, 201–258.

Kerkhoff, J. and T. Rietveld (1994). Prosody in NIROS with FONPARS and ALFEIOS. In P. de Haan and M. Oostdijk (Eds.), *Proceedings 18*, pp. 107–119. University of Nijmegen, Department of Language and Speech.

Kerkhoff, J. and T. Rietveld (1995). The generation of prosody in the Nijmegen Rule Oriented Speech synthesis system. In *Eurospeech 1995*, Volume 3, Madrid, pp. 1381–1384.

Kerkhoff, J., T. Rietveld, and D. van Bergem (1998). Het Nijmeegse tekst-naar-spraak systeem KUNTTS. Technical report, University of Nijmegen, Department of Language and Speech.

Klatt, D. H. (1987). Review of text-to-speech conversion for English. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 82*(3), 737–793.

Koster, C. (1991). A technique for parsing ambiguous languages. In H. Albas and B. Melichar (Eds.), *Attribute Grammars, Applications and Systems.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Kruyt, J. (1985). *Accents from Speakers to Listeners.* PhD Dissertation, University of Leiden.

Ladd, D. (1984). Declination: a review and some hypotheses. *Phonology Yearbook 1*, 53–74.

Ladd, D. (1986). Intonational phrasing: the case for recursive prosodic structure. *Phonology Yearbook 3*, 311–340.

Ladd, D. (1987). A phonological model of intonation for use in speech synthesis. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Speech Technology*, Edinburgh, pp. 21–24. CEP Associates.

Ladd, D. (1990). Metrical representation of pitch register. In J. Kingston and M. Beckman (Eds.), *Papers in Laboratory Phonology*, pp. 35–57. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ladd, D. (1992). An introduction to intonational phonology. In G. Docherty and D. Ladd (Eds.), *Papers in Laboratory Phonology II*, pp. 321–334. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ladd, D. (1996). *Intonational Phonology.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lavoie, B. and O. Rambow (1997). A fast and portable realizer for text generation. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference on Applied Natural-Language Processing*, Washington, pp. 265–268.

Lehiste, I. (1975). The phonetic structure of paragraphs. In A. Cohen and S. Nooteboom (Eds.), *Structure and Process in Speech Perception*, pp. 195–203. Heidelberg: Springer.

Lehiste, I. (1979). Perception of sentence and paragraph boundaries. In B. Lindblom and S. Öhman (Eds.), *Frontiers of Speech Research*, pp. 191–201. London: Academic Press.

Lennig, M. and J. Brassard (1984). Machine readable phonetic alphabet for English and French. *Speech Communication 3*, 165–166.

Liberman, M. and J. Pierrehumbert (1984). Intonational invariance under changes in pitch range and length. In M. Aronoff and M. T. Oehrle (Eds.), *Language Sound Structures: Studies in Phonology presented to Morris Halle.* Camdridge, MA: MIT Press.

Loots, M. (1983). Syntax and assimilation of voice in dutch. In M. van den Broecke, V. van Heuven, and W. Zonneveld (Eds.), *Sound Structures. Studies for Antonie Cohen.* Dordrecht: Foris.

Mann, W. and S. Thompson (1988). Rhetorical structure theory: towards a functional theory of text organization. *Text 8*(2), 243–281.

Marsi, E. (1995). Intonation in a spoken language generator. In H. Strik, N. Oostdijk, C. Cucchiarini, and P. Coppen (Eds.), *Proceedings 1995*, pp. 85–97. University of Nijmegen, Department of Language and Speech.

Marsi, E. (1998a). Introducing maximal variation in text planning for small domains. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada, pp. 68–77.

Marsi, E. (1998b). A reusable syntactic generator for Dutch. In P.-A. Coppen, H. V. Halteren, and L. Teunissen (Eds.), *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands 1997. Selected Papers from the Eight CLIN Meeting.* Amsterdam: Rodopi.

Marsi, E., P.-A. Coppen, C. Gussenhoven, and T. Rietveld (1997). Prosodic and intonational domains in speech synthesis. In J. van Santen, R. Sproat, J. Olive, and J. Hirschberg (Eds.), *Progress in Speech Synthesis*, pp. 477–493. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Matthiesen, C., Z. Licheng, M. Cross, I. Kobayashi, K. Teruya, and W. Canzhong (1998). The multex generator and its environment: application and developement. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada, pp. 228–237.

Matthiessen, C. and J. Bateman (1991). *Text generation and Systemic Functional Grammar: Experiences from English and Japanese.* London: Francis Pinter Publishers.

McCoy, K. and J. Cheng (1991). Focus of attention: Constraining what can be said next. In C. Paris, W. Swartout, and W. Moore (Eds.), *Natural Language Generation in Artificial Intelligence and Computational Linguistics*, pp. 103–124. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

McDonald, D. (1999). Natural language generation. In R. Dale, H. Moisl, and H. Somers (Eds.), *A Handbook of Natural Language Processing Techniques.* New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.

McKeown, K. (1985a). Discourse strategies for generating natural-language text. *Artificial Intelligence 27*, 1–41.

McKeown, K., S.Pan, J.Shaw, D. Jordan, and B. Allen (1997). Language generation for multimedia healthcare briefings. In *Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, pp. 227–282.

McKeown, K. R. (1985b). *Text Generation: Using Discourse Strategies and Focus Contraints to Generate Natural Language Text.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McKeown, K. R. and J. D. Moore (1995). Spoken language generation. In R. A. Cole (Ed.), *Survey of the State of the Art in Human Language Technology*, pp. 210–215.

McKeown, K. R. and S. Pan (to appear). Prosody modeling in concept-to-speech generation: Methodological issues. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Series A.*

Mel′čuk, I. (1988). *Dependency Syntax: Theory and Practice.* Albany, New York: State University of New York Press.

Menert, L. (1994). *Experiments on Voice Assimilation in Dutch : Prosodic Structures and Tempo.* PhD Dissertation, University of Utrecht. Utrecht: LEd.

Model, J. (1991). *Grammatische Analyse.* Dordrecht: ICG Publications.

Monaghan, A. (1993). The intonation of textual anomalies in text-to-speech. *Speech Communication 12*, 371–382.

Monaghan, A. and D. Ladd (1990). Symbolic output as the basis for evaluating intonation in text-to-speech systems. *Speech Communication 9*(4), 305–314.

Morais, J., L. Cary, J. Alegria, and P. Bertelson (1979). Does awareness of speech as a sequence of phones arise spontaneously? *Cognition 7*, 323–331.

Nachtegaal, D. (1997). An evaluation of Goalgetter's accentuation. Technical Report 1142, IPO, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

Neijt, A. (1984). Fie (ϕ) vorming. In G. de Haan, M. Trommelen, and W. Zonneveld (Eds.), *Van Periferie naar Kern*, pp. 169–177. Dordrecht: Foris.

Nespor, M. and I. Vogel (1986). *Prosodic Phonology.* Dordrecht: Foris.

Noordman, L., I. Dassen, M. Swerts, and J. Terken (1999). Prosodic markers of text structure. In K. van Hoek, A. A. Kibrik, and L. Noordman (Eds.), *Discourse Studies in Cognitive Linguistics*, pp. 133–148. Amsterdam: Benjamins. Selected Papers from the Fifth International Cognitive Linguistics Conference, Amsterdam, July 1997.

Nooteboom, S. and J. Kruyt (1987). Accents, focus distribution, and the perceived distribution of given and new information: An experiment. *Journal of the Accoustical Society of America 82*(5), 1512–1524.

Nooteboom, S. and J. Terken (1982). What makes speakers omit pitch accents? An experiment. *Phonetica 39*, 317–336.

Ostendorf, M. and N. Veilleux (1994). A hierachical stochastic model for automatic prediction of prosodic boundary location. *Computational Linguistics 20*(1), 27–54.

Paiva, D. S. (1998). A survey of applied natural language generation systems. Technical report ITRI-98-03, ITRI, Brighton.

Pan, S. and J. Hirschberg (2000). Modeling local context for pitch accent prediction. In *Proceedings of ACL'2000*, Hong Kong.

Pan, S. and K. McKeown (1999). Word informativeness and automatic pitch accent modeling. In *Proceedings of EMNLP/VLC'99*, College Park, Maryland.

Paris, C. (1988). Tailoring object descriptions to the user's level of expertise. *Computational Linguistics 14*(3), 64–78.

Pierrehumbert, J. (1980). *The Phonology and Phonetics of English Intonation.* PhD Dissertation, MIT.

Pierrehumbert, J. and M. Beckman (1988). *Japanese Tone Structure.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Pierrehumbert, J. and J. Hirschberg (1990). The meaning of intonational contours in the interpretation of discourse. In P. Cohen, J. Morgan, and M. Pollack (Eds.), *Intentions in Communications*, pp. 271–311. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Pollard, C. and I. Sag (1994). *Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Post, B. (2000). *Tonal and Phrasal Structures in French Intonation.* PhD Dissertation, University of Nijmegen. Den Haag: Holland Academic Graphics.

Postal, P. (1969). On so-called "pronouns" in English. In D. Reibel and S. Schane (Eds.), *Modern Studies in English*, pp. 201–224. Englewood Cliffs, New Yersey.

Postal, P. (1971). *Cross-over Phenomena.* New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Prevost, S. and M. Steedman (1994). Specifying intonation from context for speech synthesis. *Speech Communication 15*, 139–153.

Price, P., M. Ostendorf, S. Shattuck-Hufnagel, and C. Fong (1991). The use of prosody in syntactic disambiguation. *Journal of the Accoustical Society of America 90*, 2956–2970.

Prince, A. and P. Smolensky (1993). *Optimality Theory: Constraint Interaction in Generative Grammar.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Prince, E. (1981). Towards a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Radical Pragmatics*, pp. 223–255. New York: Academic Press.

Quené, H. and R. Kager (1989). Automatic accentuation and prosodic phrasing for Dutch text-to-speech conversion. In *Proceedings of Eurospeech 89*, pp. 214–217. Paris, France.

Rats, M. (1996). *Topic Management in Information Dialogues.* PhD Dissertation, University of Tilburg.

Reiter, E. (1994). Has a consensus NL generation architecture appeared, and is it psycholinguistically plausible? In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Workshop on Natural Language Generation (INLGW-1994)*, pp. 163–170.

Reiter, E. (1995). NLG versus templates. In *Proceedings of 7th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Leiden, the Netherlands.

Reiter, E. and R. Dale (1997). Building applied natural language generation systems. *Natural Language Engineering 1*(3), 57–87.

Reiter, E. and R. Dale (2000). *Building Natural Language Generation Systems.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rietveld, T. and C. Gussenhoven (1995). Aligning pitch targets in speech synthesis: Effects of syllable structure. *Journal of Phonetics 23*, 375–385.

Rietveld, T., J. Kerkhoff, and C. Gussenhoven (1999). Prosodic structure and vowel duration in Dutch. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, pp. 463–466.

Rietveld, T. and R. van Hout (1993). *Statistical Techniques for the Study of Language and Language Behaviour.* Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Rijpma, E. and F. Schuringa (1978). *Nederlandse Spraakkunst.* Groningen: Wolters-Noordhoff. (edited by Jan van Bakel).

Rochemont, M. and P. Cullicover (1990). *English Focus Constructions and the Theory of Grammar.* Cambridge: Cambridge Universtity Press.

Rooth, M. (1995). Focus. In S. Lappin (Ed.), *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, pp. 271–297. Oxford: Blackwell.

Rubinoff, R. (1992). Integrating text planning and linguistic choice by annotating linguistic structures. In R.Dale, E.Hovy, D.Rösner, and O.Stock (Eds.), *Aspects of Automated Natural Language Generation*, pp. 45–56. Berlin: Springer.

Sanderman, A. (1996). *Prosodic phrasing: Production, Perception, Acceptability and Comprehension.* PhD Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology.

Sanders, E. and P. Taylor (1995). Using statistical models to predict phrase boundaries for speech synthesis. In *Proceedings of the Fourth European Conference of Speech Communication and technology (Eurospeech '95)*, Madrid, Spain.

Schmerling, S. (1976). *Aspects of English Sentence Stress.* Austin: Texas University Press.

Selkirk, E. (1984). *Phonology and Syntax: the Relation between Sound and Structure.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Selkirk, E. (1995). Sentence prosody: Intonation, stress and phrasing. In J. A. Goldsmith (Ed.), *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, pp. 550–569. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Selkirk, E. (1996). On derived domains in sentence phonology. *Phonology Yearbook 3*, 371–404.

Selkirk, E. (2000). The interaction of contraints on prosodic phrasing. In M. Horne (Ed.), *Prosody: Theory and Experiment. Studies presented to Gösta Bruce*, pp. 231–261. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Shattuck-Hufnagel, S. and A. Turk (1996). A prosody tutorial for investigators of auditory sentence processing. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research 25*(2), 193–247.

Shaw, J. (1998). Clause aggregation using linguistic knowledge. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada, pp. 138–147.

Sluijter, A. (1995). *Phonetic Corelates of Stress and Accent.* PhD Dissertation, University of Leiden.

Sluijter, A. and J. Terken (1993). Beyond sentence prosody: paragraph intonation in Dutch. *Phonetica 50*, 180–188.

Sproat, R., A. Hunt, M. Ostendorf, P. Taylor, A. Black, K. Lenzo, and M. Edginton (1998). Sable: A standard for tts markup. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Phonetic Sciences.*

Sproat, R. W. (1997). Section introduction. the analysis of text in *text*-to-speech synthesis. In J. van Santen, R. Sproat, J. Olive, and J. Hirschberg (Eds.), *Progress in Speech Synthesis*, pp. 71–75. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Stede, M. (1995). Lexicalization in natural language generation: A survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review 8*, 309–336.

Steedman, M. (1991). Structure and intonation. *Language 67*(2), 260–296.

Swerts, M. (1994). *Prosodic Features of Discourse Units.* PhD Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology.

Swerts, M. (1999). Ritme als verklarende factor voor de keuze tussen groene en rode werkwoordvolgorde in het Nederlands. *Nederlandse Taalkunde 3*(4), 299–308.

Swerts, M., R. Collier, and J. Terken (1994). Prosodic predictors of discourse finality in spontaneous monologues. *Speech Communication 15*, 79–90.

Swerts, M. and R. Geluykens (1993). The prosody of information units in spontaneous monologue. *Phonetica 50*, 189–196.

't Hart, J., R. Collier, and A. Cohen (1990). *A Perceptual Study of Intonation.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Taylor, P. and A. W. Black (1998). Assigning phrase breaks from part-of-speech sequences. *Computer Language and Speech 12*(2), 97–117.

Teich, E., E. Haen, B. Grote, and J. Bateman (1997). From communicative context to speech: integrating dialogue processing, speech production, and natural language generation. *Speech Communication 21*, 73–99.

Terken, J. (1993). Synthesizing natural-sounding intonation. *Computer Speech and Language 7*, 27–48.

Teunissen, L. (1997). GENIUSS: generative implementation of Universal Semantic Syntax. In W. Hoepnner (Ed.), *6th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation*, Gerhard-Mercator-Universität-GH Duisburg.

Theune, M. (1997a). Contrastive accent in a data-to-speech system. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual meeting of the ACL / the 8th Conference of the EACL*, Madrid, pp. 519–521.

Theune, M. (1997b). Goalgetter: Predicting contrastive accent in data-to-speech generation. In J. Landsbergen, J. Odijk, K. van Deemter, and G. V. van Zanten (Eds.), *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands 1996; Papers from the Seventh CLIN Meeting*, pp. 177–190. Eindhoven: Eindhoven University of Technology.

Theune, M., E. Klabbers, J. Odijk, and J. de Pijper (1997). Computing prosodic properties in a data-to-speech system. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Concept-to-Speech Generation Systems (ACL/EACL'97)*, Madrid, Spain, pp. 39–45.

Truckenbrodt, H. (1999). On the relation between syntactic phrases and phonological phrases. *Linguistic Inquiry 30*(2), 257–287.

van Bezooijen, R. (1989). Evaluation of an algorithm for the automatic assignment of sentence accents in written text. Technical Report ASSP-Report 9, Stichting Spraaktechnologie, University of Amsterdam.

van Deemter, K. (1994). What's new? A semantic perspective on sentence accent. *Journal of Semantics 11*, 1–31.

van Deemter, K. and J. Odijk (1997). Context modelling and the generation of spoken discourse. *Speech Communication 21*(1/2), 101–121.

van den Berg, R., C. Gussenhoven, and T. Rietveld (1992). Downstep in Dutch: Implications for a model. In G. Docherty and D. Ladd (Eds.), *Papers in Laboratory Phonology*, Volume II, pp. 335–359. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

van den Toorn, M. (1984). *Nederlandse Grammatica.* Groningen: Wolters-Noordhoff.

van der Lubbe, H. (1958). *Woordvolgorde in het Nederlands: Een Synchrone Structurele Beschouwing.* Assen: Van Gorcum.

van Donzel, M. (1999). *Prosodic Aspects of Information Structure in Discourse.* PhD Dissertation, University of Amsterdam. Den Haag: Holland Academic Graphics.

van Dreumel, S. (2000). The Amazon grammar and the last part of the middle field. In F. van Eynde, I. Schuurman, and N. Schelkens (Eds.), *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands 1998*, pp. 93–107. Amsterdam: Rodopi.

van Eynde, F. (1997). On the notion 'minor category'. In J. Landsbergen, J. Odijk, K. van Deemter, and G. V. van Zanten (Eds.), *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands 1996; Papers from the Seventh CLIN Meeting*, pp. 191–206. Eindhoven: Eindhoven University of Technology.

van Kuppevelt, J. (1991). *Topic en Comment. Expliciete en Impliciete Vraagstelling in Discourse.* PhD Dissertation, University of Nijmegen.

van Wijk, C. (1987a). The PSY behind PHI: a psycholinguistic model for performance structures. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research 16*(2), 185–199.

van Wijk, C. (1987b). *Speaking, writing, and sentence form: Three psycholinguistic studies.* PhD Dissertation, University of Nijmegen.

Varga, L. (1998). Rhythmical variation in Hungarian. *Phonology 15*, 227–266.

Verhagen, A. (1986). *Linguistic Theory and the Function of Word Order in Dutch.* Dordrecht: Mouton de Gruyter/Foris.

Wichmann, A., J. House, and T. Rietveld (2000). Discourse constraints of F_0 peak timing in English. In A. Botinis (Ed.), *Intonation: Analysis, Modelling and Technology*, pp. 163–182. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Young, S. J. and F. Fallside (1979). Speech synthesis from concept: a method for speech output from information systems. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America 66*(3), 685–695.

Zec, D. and S. Inkelas (1990). Prosodically constrained syntax. In S. Inkelas and D. Zec (Eds.), *The Phonology-Syntax Connection*, pp. 365–378. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zwart, C.-W. (1993). *Dutch Syntax: A Minimalist Approach.* PhD Dissertation, University of Utrecht.